

The CONTEXT Prompt Framework

A Philosophically Informed Guide to High-Quality AI Prompting

Michael Stoyanovich

Artifact Classification: Implementation Guide

Version 3.1.2 | July 2026

Scope and Limitations

The CONTEXT Prompt Framework is a methodology for improving the clarity, structure, and reliability of AI interactions. It is intended to support human judgment and organizational AI practices by helping users specify objectives, context, constraints, and expected outputs more effectively.

The framework is not, by itself, an AI governance program, legal or regulatory standard, security control framework, or compliance methodology. Organizations should apply it alongside appropriate governance, risk management, privacy, cybersecurity, and legal oversight appropriate to their operating environment.

Purpose

This guide provides a practical framework for structuring interactions with generative AI by making relevant context, objectives, constraints, assumptions, examples, and evaluation criteria explicit. Its purpose is to improve the clarity, reliability, and usefulness of AI-assisted work while preserving human judgment, verification, and accountability.

Intended Audience

This guide is intended for professionals, organizational leaders, consultants, educators, analysts, and other practitioners who use generative AI in work requiring structured reasoning, reliable outputs, or accountable human judgment. No specialized knowledge of AI or prompt engineering is required.

Reading Guide

This guide may be read sequentially to understand the rationale and structure of the CONTEXT Prompt Framework or used as a reference during AI-assisted work. Readers already familiar with the framework may proceed directly to the implementation guidance, examples, and supporting tools using the Table of Contents. The framework should be adapted proportionately to the complexity, risk, and purpose of the task rather than applied mechanically to every interaction.

Table of Contents

Scope and Limitations.....	1
Purpose.....	1
Intended Audience.....	1
Reading Guide.....	1
C – Clarify the Purpose.....	4
O – Outline the Context.....	4
N – Note the Nuances and Ethical Boundaries.....	4
T – Tailor to the Audience.....	5
E – Establish the Expected Output Format.....	5
X – eXamine Limitations and Uncertainties.....	5
T – Tune and Iterate.....	5
Visual Cheat Sheet.....	6
The CONTEXT-UX Prompt Framework Overview.....	7
C – Clarify the Design Objective.....	7
O – Outline the Interaction and Product Context.....	7
N – Note User Sensitivities and Ethical Considerations.....	7
T – Tailor to the User Persona and Use Case.....	7
E – Establish Output Format and Design Constraints.....	7
X – eXamine Usability Risks and Edge Cases.....	7
T – Test and Refine Interactively.....	8
Sample Composite Prompt.....	8
The CONTEXT-EDU Prompt Framework Overview.....	9
C – Clarify the Learning Goal.....	9
O – Outline the Educational Context.....	9
N – Note Cognitive, Cultural, and Ethical Sensitivities.....	9
T – Tailor to the Learner Profile.....	9

E – Establish Output Type and Assessment Format.....	9
X – eXamine Misconceptions and Gaps.....	9
T – Tune for Reflection and Iteration.....	10
Sample Composite Prompt.....	10
The CONTEXT-COMMS Prompt Framework Overview.....	11
C – Clarify the Communication Goal.....	11
O – Outline the Brand, Channel, and Strategic Context.....	11
N – Note Tone, Risk, and Reputation Sensitivities.....	11
T – Tailor to the Target Audience.....	11
E – Establish Format, Style, and CTA Type.....	11
X – eXamine Potential Confusion or Misinterpretation.....	11
T – Test for Message Variants and Iteration.....	12
Sample Composite Prompt.....	12
The CONTEXT-GOV Prompt Framework Overview.....	13
C – Clarify the Policy or Regulatory Objective.....	13
O – Outline the Legal, Technical, or Institutional Context.....	13
N – Note Ethical, Legal, and Cultural Constraints.....	13
T – Tailor to the Audience or Stakeholder.....	13
E – Establish Output Format and Auditability.....	13
X – eXamine Ambiguities and Edge Cases.....	13
T – Test for Oversight, Iteration, or Governance Fit.....	14
Sample Composite Prompt.....	14
Ethics, Disclosure, and Acknowledgements.....	15
Ethical Considerations.....	15
Use of AI Tools.....	15
Acknowledgements.....	15
Disclosure Statement.....	15

License & Attribution.....	15
Trademark Notice:.....	16
Derivative Use and Attribution Notice:.....	16
How to Cite This Guide.....	16
Related Works.....	16
Version History and Document Status.....	17

The CONTEXT Prompt Framework – Full Guide

C – Clarify the Purpose

Clearly articulate the task. Define what you want the AI to do (e.g., analyze, summarize, critique, design), and frame it in relation to the intended conceptual or practical aim.

Example: “Analyze how Wittgenstein’s theory of language games could inform ethical design principles for AI chatbots.”

O – Outline the Context

Provide relevant background. Reference key theories, frameworks, or operational details from philosophy, cognitive science, or technical design that will help the AI respond within the right paradigm.

Example: “Frame your answer using David Lewis’s scorekeeping theory to explain how AI maintains or loses context in conversation.”

N – Note the Nuances and Ethical Boundaries

Emphasize subtleties. Instruct the AI to attend to conceptual distinctions, avoid over-claiming (e.g., anthropomorphism), and consider ethical, cultural, or disciplinary sensitivities. Nuance can also be operationalized into decision rubrics with weighted criteria for repeatable evaluation.

Example: “Avoid framing the AI as sentient. Focus on its simulation of human-like dialogue and ethical risks of misattribution.”

T – Tailor to the Audience

Specify the audience and desired level of formality, depth, or accessibility. The AI should adapt tone and complexity accordingly.

Example: “Write for an interdisciplinary audience of researchers and policymakers with limited technical backgrounds.”

E – Establish the Expected Output Format

Clarify the format and structure. This might include bullet points, essays, summaries, matrices, or visual-ready content. Explicit outputs should also include ready-to-use templates or artifacts (e.g., YAML intake forms, JSON schemas, prompt skeletons) when applicable.

Example: “Present the analysis as a 3-part essay. Use APA in-text citation style where applicable.”

X – eXamine Limitations and Uncertainties

Ask the AI to reflect critically. Identify gray areas, trade-offs, or open questions. Where appropriate, this examination should also include deterministic mapping rules (if-then heuristics) to guide consistent decision-making.

Example: “Highlight any limitations in applying Lewis’s theory to current AI architecture.”

T – Tune and Iterate

Frame the output as a draft. Signal that iterative refinement will follow.

Example: “Provide your best initial answer, then revise it for clarity and precision.”

The CONTEXT Prompt Framework

A Philosophically Informed Guide to High-Quality AI Prompting



Clarify the Purpose

Clearly state the goal and intended focus of the AI's output.



Outline the Context

Provide theoretical, technical, or practical background relevant to the task.



Note the Nuances

Specify key distinctions and ethical considerations to be taken into account



Tailor to the Audience

Indicate the target audience and the appropriate level of complexity



eXamine the Expected Format

Set any requirements for the structure and style of the AI's response



eXamine Limitations

Ask for an acknowledgement of any uncertainties or gaps



Tune and Iterate

Encourage iterative refinement and further exploration

The CONTEXT-UX Prompt Framework Overview

C – Clarify the Design Objective

State the UX problem or goal the AI should help with. Define whether you're ideating, testing, explaining, or translating user needs into features.

Example: "Generate three possible onboarding flows that reduce cognitive load for first-time users of an AI writing assistant."

O – Outline the Interaction and Product Context

Give background on the user, task, system, and use environment. Include platform constraints (e.g., mobile, multilingual UI), design systems, and known pain points.

Example: "Assume this is for mobile-first Gen Z users creating content on the go, in low-attention environments. The AI tool supports multimodal inputs and must align with Material Design guidelines."

N – Note User Sensitivities and Ethical Considerations

Prompt the AI to account for potential friction points: emotional tone, accessibility, trust, bias, dark patterns, cognitive overload.

Example: "Avoid manipulative UX patterns and flag any interaction that might reduce user trust in AI outputs. Make the system behavior transparent and recoverable."

T – Tailor to the User Persona and Use Case

Describe the target user in terms of goals, behaviors, literacy, and environment. Specify tone, complexity, or accessibility needs.

Example: "Design for a non-technical small business owner using AI to create social media content. Keep language simple, actions clear, and interface supportive."

E – Establish Output Format and Design Constraints

Specify what format the AI should return: wireframe elements, microcopy, error states, modal dialog options, or UX writing. Indicate word limits, Figma compatibility, or component style.

Example: "Return a microcopy suggestion for an AI-generated image disclaimer, no longer than 15 words. Tone should be neutral and reassuring."

X – eXamine Usability Risks and Edge Cases

Ask the AI to consider what could go wrong: misunderstanding intent, errors in predictions, user drop-off, cross-cultural confusion.

Example: "Identify where a user might abandon the interaction if the AI provides confusing feedback or hallucinated results."

T – Test and Refine Interactively

Request suggestions for A/B tests, follow-up improvements, or alternate versions.
Encourage iterative cycles, not one-shot answers.

Example: “Offer two versions of the tooltip text. Then suggest one testable hypothesis for improving engagement.”

Sample Composite Prompt

Using the CONTEXT-UX framework, generate a responsive microcopy and flow for an AI photo tagger feature targeting Gen Z users. Assume mobile context, low attention span, and concerns about privacy. Provide two variations, flag potential friction, and suggest an A/B test plan.

The CONTEXT-EDU Prompt Framework Overview

C – Clarify the Learning Goal

Start with the instructional purpose. Define what concept, skill, or outcome you want the AI to help support.

Example: “Explain the concept of confirmation bias in a way that a high school student could understand, using a real-life example.”

O – Outline the Educational Context

Provide background on subject matter, grade level, prior knowledge, curriculum constraints, or classroom setting.

Example: “This is for a 10th-grade world history course focused on media literacy. Students are familiar with basic logical fallacies.”

N – Note Cognitive, Cultural, and Ethical Sensitivities

Instruct the AI to avoid bias, respect inclusion and accessibility principles, and acknowledge sensitive topics when relevant.

Example: “Avoid Eurocentric examples. Include a culturally diverse perspective, and use clear, neurodivergent-friendly formatting.”

T – Tailor to the Learner Profile

Describe who the learner is: their cognitive style, language level, digital fluency, or educational challenges.

Example: “Respond as if explaining to a bilingual student with intermediate English who prefers step-by-step examples.”

E – Establish Output Type and Assessment Format

Be clear about deliverable format: quiz, rubric, lesson plan, analogy, Socratic question series, etc.

Example: “Create a 5-question formative quiz on photosynthesis, aligned to Bloom’s ‘Understanding’ level. Use multiple choice format.”

X – eXamine Misconceptions and Gaps

Ask the AI to anticipate common errors or misunderstandings, and recommend ways to address them through feedback or clarification.

Example: “Point out where students might confuse correlation and causation, and suggest a short in-class demo to correct this.”

T – Tune for Reflection and Iteration

Encourage iterative improvements. Ask for follow-up prompts, scaffolding strategies, or reflection questions.

Example: “Give students one follow-up journal prompt that helps them connect this lesson to current events.”

Sample Composite Prompt

Using the CONTEXT-EDU framework, design a short activity to help 11th-grade students understand the concept of structural inequality in housing. Provide culturally sensitive examples, anticipate likely misunderstandings, and include a reflection question.

The CONTEXT-COMMS Prompt Framework Overview

C – Clarify the Communication Goal

Define the intent: persuade, inform, explain, engage, defend, or inspire.

Example: “Draft a short explainer post introducing a company’s new AI-powered search feature to existing users.”

O – Outline the Brand, Channel, and Strategic Context

Provide brand tone, platform (LinkedIn, email, etc.), and campaign or situational context.

Example: “Use our brand voice (professional, optimistic, inclusive). This is part of a retention campaign.”

N – Note Tone, Risk, and Reputation Sensitivities

Direct the AI to avoid tone-deaf phrasing, polarizing language, or misleading claims.

Example: “Avoid exaggerated performance claims. Flag language that may overpromise AI capabilities.”

T – Tailor to the Target Audience

Describe audience mindset, values, fluency, and cues. Match tone and message structure.

Example: “Write for time-starved SMB owners in their 40s who are skeptical of buzzwords.”

E – Establish Format, Style, and CTA Type

Specify output type, tone, structure, and action.

Example: “Write a tweet thread (max 3 tweets) teasing a product drop, with a waitlist CTA.”

X – eXamine Potential Confusion or Misinterpretation

Ask AI to check for ambiguity, raise objections, or suggest mitigation.

Example: “Identify where readers might misunderstand what ‘AI-powered’ means and clarify.”

T – Test for Message Variants and Iteration

Ask for alternatives by tone, persona, or platform. Recommend A/B angles.

Example: “Provide one professional and one playful version of this email subject line.”

Sample Composite Prompt

Using the CONTEXT-COMMS framework, draft a short social post introducing a privacy-focused AI chatbot. Keep tone friendly but grounded, avoid hype or technical jargon, and propose two alternate CTA phrases. Target millennial professionals concerned with ethical tech.

The CONTEXT-GOV Prompt Framework Overview

C – Clarify the Policy or Regulatory Objective

State what you’re trying to achieve: policy analysis, standards mapping, risk flagging, scenario planning, etc.

Example: “Summarize the key cybersecurity controls required for compliance with HIPAA and map them to NIST CSF categories.”

O – Outline the Legal, Technical, or Institutional Context

Define the jurisdiction, framework, organizational type, or operational environment. Mention relevant standards (e.g., GDPR, ISO 27001, COBIT).

Example: “Assume this is a nonprofit healthcare provider in California, subject to HIPAA, CCPA, and IRS reporting rules.”

N – Note Ethical, Legal, and Cultural Constraints

Highlight statutory, ethical, or reputational limits. Ask for transparency, neutrality, or caution with interpretation. When relevant, Nuance should explicitly address governance, risk, and compliance considerations such as auditability, data handling, retention, and role-based access controls.

Example: “Do not speculate on legal liability. Present neutral interpretations and include any known exceptions.”

T – Tailor to the Audience or Stakeholder

Specify whether the AI is producing content for legal counsel, board members, auditors, staff, or the public.

Example: “Write a one-page summary suitable for non-technical executives on the compliance implications of AI-based hiring tools.”

E – Establish Output Format and Auditability

Be explicit about format: risk matrix, memo, checklist, clauses. Ask for citations or references to standards.

Example: “Create a 3-column table mapping SOC 2 Trust Services Criteria to concrete AI governance practices.”

X – eXamine Ambiguities and Edge Cases

Ask the AI to flag gray areas or conflicts. Consider unintended consequences, enforcement variability, or reputational risk.

Example: “Flag where U.S. copyright law may be ambiguous in applying to AI-generated text, especially under fair use.”

T – Test for Oversight, Iteration, or Governance Fit

Encourage ongoing validation: who reviews this, how is it versioned, and what policy lifecycle stage it supports?

Sample Composite Prompt

Using the CONTEXT-GOV framework, draft a risk register entry for AI use in employee surveillance. Include regulatory references (e.g., GDPR, CCPA), ethical risks, and stakeholder concerns. Present it in a format suitable for board-level review.

Ethics, Disclosure, and Acknowledgements

Ethical Considerations

This document does not draw on private, sensitive, or personally identifiable data. All examples are hypothetical, anonymized, or derived from public sources. No formal human-subjects research was conducted, and no institutional ethics review was required. All citations, if any, conform to academic standards.

Use of AI Tools

AI language models - most notably OpenAI's ChatGPT - were used during the writing process as interlocutors: for brainstorming, structuring sections, and testing rhetorical clarity. These tools were instrumental in refining transitions, surfacing edge cases, and challenging internal consistency.

This meta-use aligns with the paper's themes. Interacting with generative AI during authorship provided firsthand insight into the very limitations this paper analyzes: fluency without grounding, responsiveness without perspective, and the ease with which stylistic coherence can be mistaken for conceptual depth.

Responsibility for all ideas, arguments, and conclusions lies solely with the human author.

Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank informal readers who provided critical feedback on earlier drafts. Their questions, challenges, and encouragement materially improved the final guide.

No institutional support, funding, or affiliation contributed to this work. All errors and omissions are the author's alone.

Disclosure Statement

This work was conducted independently, without institutional affiliation, funding, or external influence. The views expressed are the author's alone and do not represent any current or former employer. No financial or professional conflicts of interest are declared.

License & Attribution

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) license. You are free to share, adapt, and build upon this work for any purpose—including commercial use—so long as proper attribution is given. No additional permissions are required.

Full license terms: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Trademark Notice:

The *Four Philosophers Framework*[™] and *The 4-Philosophers Framework*[™] are unregistered trademarks of Michael Stoyanovich. The CC BY 4.0 license does not apply to these

trademarks. Use of the trademarked names is permitted for scholarly citation or descriptive reference but may not be used in connection with commercial products, services, or branding without permission.

Derivative Use and Attribution Notice:

The CONTEXT Prompt Framework and its sub-frameworks (e.g., CONTEXT-UX, CONTEXT-EDU, CONTEXT-GOV, CONTEXT-COMMS) are derivative methodological tools grounded in the *Four Philosophers Framework*[™]. While the CONTEXT materials are licensed under CC BY 4.0, they reflect the philosophical structure and conceptual lineage of the original framework and should be cited accordingly in derivative or interpretive works.

How to Cite This Guide

Stoyanovich, Michael. *The CONTEXT Prompt Framework: A Philosophically Informed Guide to High-Quality AI Prompting*. Version 3.1.2 (July 2026).

<https://www.mstoyanovich.com>

Related Works

This implementation guide complements the following works within the broader corpus:

- *The 4-Philosophers AI Use Discipline* — provides operational practices for responsible use of generative AI, including maintaining human judgment, verification, and accountability.
- *Where GPT Behavior Comes From* — explains the technical, organizational, and conversational factors that shape observable GPT behavior and therefore influence how context and instructions affect model outputs.
- *A Constitution for a Chatbot* — examines how explicit behavioral principles, instructions, and governance mechanisms can shape conversational AI behavior.
- *Philosophy, Cognitive Science, and Policy: Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Generative AI from Wittgenstein, Lewis, Dennett, and Nagel* — provides the broader philosophical foundation for understanding context, language, attributed agency, and the limitations of generative AI.

Version History and Document Status

This is a living document. As generative AI systems and their use evolve, this paper will be periodically updated to incorporate new empirical findings, theoretical insights, and policy developments. Major revisions are recorded here to preserve transparency and scholarly traceability.

Version	Date	Description
3.1.2	July 2026	Publication matter refinement. Added standardized title metadata, artifact classification, purpose, intended audience, and reading guide; normalized citation, acknowledgements, notice headings, and related-works presentation to align with the MJS Publication Matter Standard. Preserved the guide’s existing derivative-use and attribution provisions. No substantive changes to the CONTEXT Prompt Framework or its implementation guidance.
3.1.1	August 2025	Added clarifications to the core CONTEXT elements: •Nuance expanded to include decision rubrics with weighted criteria. •eXamine expanded to include deterministic mapping rules (if-then heuristics). •Explicit expanded to require ready-to-use templates or artifacts (e.g., YAML, JSON, prompt skeletons). •Nuance (in governance contexts) expanded to explicitly address governance, risk, and compliance (auditability, data handling, retention, RBAC).
3.1.0	July 2025	Added attribution noting that the CONTEXT framework is a derivative of the <i>Four Philosophers Framework</i> TM . Updated license section accordingly.
3.0.0	April 2025	Initial release of The CONTEXT Prompt Framework <i>A Philosophically Informed Guide to High-Quality AI Prompting</i>